

2023 WL 4683429 (Cal.Super.) (Verdict and Settlement Summary)

Copyright (c) 2024 Thomson Reuters/West
WEST'S JURY VERDICTS - CALIFORNIA REPORTS
Superior Court, Los Angeles County, California.

Mary's on Ventura v. Armin et al.

Type of Case:

Vehicle Negligence • Stationary Structure

Vehicle Negligence • Negligent Entrustment-Vehicle

Real Property • Trespass/Nuisance

Real Property • Damage Real Property

Synopsis: Minor driver crashed into the plaintiff's restaurant

Jurisdiction:

State: California

County: Los Angeles

Docket/File Number: BC569848

Trial Type: Jury

Verdict: Plaintiff, \$1,014,000; increased in judgment to \$1,036,902 per prejudgment interest

Range Amount: \$1,000,000 - 1,999,999

Date of Incident: November 09, 2014

Date of Filing: January 20, 2015

Verdict/Judgment Date: March 03, 2023

Judge:Huey P. Cotton

Attorneys:

Plaintiff: Steven H. Haney, Haney & Young LLP, Los Angeles, CA

Defendants: Charles F. Nettles, Freeburg & Nettels, Glendale, CA; Amelia McDonald, Freeburg & Nettels, Glendale, CA

Experts:

Plaintiff: David Weiner, M.B.A., Economist, Los Angeles, CA

Breakdown of Award:

\$39,000 to plaintiff for past economic damages

\$975,000 to plaintiff for future economic damages, including lost profits

The award was increased in judgment to \$1,036,902, per prejudgment interest of \$22,902.

Summary of Facts:

Plaintiff Mary's on Ventura d/b/a Prohibition Burgers and Beer claimed defendant R.A., a 17-year-old boy, fell asleep at the wheel of the family vehicle, causing him to fly off the road, hit the curb, hit and destroy a parking meter and then crash into its restaurant.

The plaintiff alleged negligent driving and trespass. It further brought a negligent entrustment claim against R.A.'s father, defendant Amir Armin.

The plaintiff sought \$953,000 for lost profits and between \$850,000 and \$1,100,020 for loss of the business.

Roy did not contest that he caused the accident. Amir disputed the negligent entrustment claim. Both defendants contested the nature and extent of the plaintiff's damages.

Jurors returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff and found the plaintiff should be awarded prejudgment interest.

JVR 2307210011

End of Document

© 2024 Thomson Reuters. No claim to original U.S. Government Works.

2023 WL 4266761 (Cal.Super.) (Verdict, Agreement and Settlement)
Superior Court of California,
Northwest District.
Los Angeles County

MARY'S ON VENTURA dba Prohibition Burgers and Beer, a California Corporation, Plaintiff,

v.

Amir Allen **ARMIN**, an individual; **Roy Mushe Armin**, and Does 1 through 10, Defendants.

No.
BC569848

March 3, 2023.

Special Verdict Form

Hon. Huey P. Cotton.

Dept. A

DAMAGES AS TO DEFENDANTS AMIR AND ROY ARMIN

1. What are Mary's on Ventura's total damages?

(a) Past economic loss,	\$ 39,000
(b) Future economic loss, including lost profits	\$ 975,000
TOTAL DAMAGES	\$ 1,014,000

2. Should Prejudgment interest be awarded?

Yes _____ No

Dated: MAR 03 2023

Signature <<signature>>

Presiding Juror

<<signature>>

Please Print Name